G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
191.
(Madurai)

File No. 246

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Complaints of certain irregularities in the Conduct of feeding at Narasapur Melur Taluk during the Car festival of the Sri Lakshmi narayanaswami temple at the place

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of certain irregularities in the conduct of feeding at Narsapur in the Malur Taluk during the car festival of the Sri Lakshmi Narayana swami temple at the place, by the Taluk Judicial Sumastah and of his conduct towards the Brahmins on the occasion.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

Letter No. 5880/3824, Dated 17th January 1906.

The circumstances of this case are fully explained in the Deputy Commissioners letter and I have repeated the facts in the accompanying draft order, which is herewith submitted for approval.

Submitted to the Dewan through the II Councillor

H Chamarajendra

11th February 1906,
Camp Mysore.

171
13.2.06.

Dewan
Mysore

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—500—25-7-05.

Serial
No.

made between the said
Court Jones in the Name
of Free Britons. It was
the Custom that anglet-
ti he should strike Coaches
found.

Entomunya is responsible
for Suspense and if
this Treasury was in
me become and objectionable
he should be prosecuted
rather than Sent re-
press this disturbance
at the conduct of
the community

11329
16/2/06

Read
15/2/06

Dept Supt Galleries
17.2.06 Read

Issue 11/-
19/2/06

Note—Contn sheet

MUZ

19 —19

FROM The Secretary,
Muz Dept

To
The Dy Commr
Kolar
~~Mysore~~ District

S 704-5

Dated 25th Feby 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 3240
Muzrai F. No. 29-95

Enclosure one

In Continuation of Govt Bro. no. 704-5, Dy 12th Janry. 1896, directing the feeding of ~~the~~ pilgrims, in the Chattram at Narsapur, Malur taluk, during the Car festival of the Sri Laksmi Narayana Devaru in the said place, has honor to forward here for report ~~petn~~, registered no: 305 Dy 11th Feby. 1905, addr to the Dewan of Mysore by Lavaraklare Subba & certain others a

The feeding was unsatisfactorily conducted in the Chattram during the Car. festival of this year, that many Brahmins who ~~had~~ had assembled ~~there~~ were insulted by ~~some~~ the Servants Sumasthas and that their ~~representations to the Sheikdar~~ they brought these irregularities to the notice of the Sheikdar & others; and praying that necessary arrangements might be made for the regular conduct of feeding in the Chattram

By order
24/2/05
Secretary

Draft
M. 23/2/05.

Ks
24/2/05

orsement to the Petn. (illegible)

MYSORE (C) ...
3052

3

To
The Secretary
Dept of
16.2.1207

MYSORE GOVT.
3060
MUZRAI SECTT.

1906

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to
Govt of Mysore

Dated 2-1-06

Despatched 7-1-06

Received

General No. 5880

No. 384

Enclosure No. 1 file

Report on the seizure of
Subbaraya & others on the
charge of Pilgrims at the time of the car festival
of Sri Lakshminarayanaswamy
Hunsi last year

QA

Refers

1871/06

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 12th January 1906.

From,
K. P. Puttannachetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To,
L. Anantaswami Row Esqre B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore - Mezrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 3240/2995 and 5-5-5 dated 25th February 1905 and 25th July 1905 forwarding for report petition from Javarakere Subbaraya and others alleging that the feeding of pilgrims at Narasapur, was not properly done at the time of the Car-festival of the Sri Lakshmi narayanaswami, during last year, I have the honor to state that in accordance with Government order No. 704-5 dated 12th January 1896 the Annachatram at Malur is shifted temporarily to Narasapur

for 6 days in a year, to feed the poor pilgrims that assemble there during the Car festival of the said deity. As usual, the Taluk judicial Gumasta was sent last year to Narasapur to make arrangements for the feeding of the pilgrims. It appears that the Srivishnava, Vykhanasa and Sivadhvaja Brahmins of Bellur and other neighbouring villages within a distance of 1 or 2 miles from Narasapur, who are men in well-to-do circumstances demanded raw rations from the Chatram, as they would not mess in the Chatram Santarpana. — The judicial Gumasta seems to have refused to comply with their demand in the belief that the small amount allotted was intended to feed

bonafide pilgrims and poor persons and not the people of the neighbouring villages who were in good circumstances and who could have conveniently made their own arrangements without indenting upon the Chatram.

2 As regards the other allegation that the Gumasta did not feed the brahmins properly and that he abused and insulted them the Amildar reports that one Kolabhatta and his son-in-law who were seated taking meals in the last row, went away in anger as there was some delay in the serving of meals &c. Kalabhatta thereupon brought in Puttasamiah, brother of the Contractor into the Sourtarpara

As Puttasamiah (as one

belonging to the sect of Madwa) passed through the row of brahmins, when they were dining, all the brahmins got up and went away. At that time some hot words seem to have passed between the judicial gumasta and Kal abhatta, Puttasamiah and others, but it does not appear that the judicial gumasta insulted the brahmins.

3. The present complaint seems to have been the result of the refusal of the judicial gumasta to give raw rations to the local brahmins and to those that had come from the adjoining villages, as per desire of Rama Row and Srinivasa Row chief devotees of the temple

4 The Judicial quarters has however been reprimanded for not managing the Sanitary ane in a better way and better arrangements will be made hereafter. The Amildar was not able to be present at Narasapur at the time of the Car festival as he was engaged on Jamabandi duty with the Assistant Commissioner.

5. The petition received with your docket under reply and the Vernacular records received from the Assistant Commissioner are enclosed herein for kind reference.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obt servant

W M Mitchell
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dft for approval

Muzrai

Read again :-

Govt P.O: No. 704-5 dated 12th January 1896, directing the holding of the Malur Anna Chattram at Narsapur in the Malur Taluk for 6 days during the car festival of the Sri Lakshmi Narayana Devaru temple at Narasapur.

Petition Reg^d. No. 3052 dated 11th February 1905, from Javaraikere Subbaroya and others, complaining of certain irregularities during the Sankarpane at Narasapur which took place in the year 1905.

Letter No. 5880/384, dated 12th January 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District reporting on the above

---

Order thereon

The

No 3503-125/23.2.06
Enc. one file.

that during the car festival
of the Sri Lakshmi Narayana
Devaru temple at Narasapur
in the Malur Taluk in the year
1905, Santarpane was not properly
conducted in the Chattram and
that the Taluk Judicial Gumastah
who was entrusted with the
supervision of the feeding, insulted
and abused the Brahmins who
had come to the Santarpane.

2. The Deputy Commissioner
reports that the Brahmins of the
neighbouring villages who were
in well-to-do circumstances,
demanded raw rations from
the Chattram as they would
not mess in the general Santarpane,
that the refusal of the Taluk
Judicial Gumastah to comply
their demand was the
of the present complaint
against him.
reported
also ~~observed~~

owing to a short delay in
serving meals, Kalabhatta
and his son-in-law who had
come to the Sautarpane got
angry and fetched one Puttu-
saniah, a marka by caste,
and took him through the row
where the Brahmins were
seated dining and that con-
sequently the Brahmins all
got up and went away and
~~that~~ beyond some hot words
which passed between the
gumastah and Kalabhatta,
Puttusaniah and others, nothing
was done by the gumastah to
insult the Brahmins. It is re-
ported further that the Judicial
gumastah has been reprimanded
for not conducting the S
in a satisfactory manner
4. With reference to the ca
brought to notice

observe that in such Santarpanes
the primary object is to feed a
concourse of Brahmins with
cooked rice consecrated to God
and not to give raw and un-
consecrated rations to individuals.
There is of course no objection
to give raw rations provided
the resources at the disposal
of the Managing Officer can
bear the additional cost and
provided the applicants are
really poor and deserving in
other ways of such aid. The
Santarpane should be conducted
with the above principles in view
but at the same time the officials
concerned should deal with
people in a conciliatory manner
without giving offence.

Puttasawmayya a
The conduct of ~~the~~ members
marka community in
the place during

the Sautarpane, when they full well knew that owing to sectarian differences they had not the privilege of messing and were therefore debarred with other sects of Brahmins were having their meals, was very objectionable tending as it did to the breaking up of the Sautarpane before it could be duly completed. The displeasure of Govt should be communicated to the parties concerned and proper arrangements made for preventing the repetition of such occurrences in future

p314
17.2.06

Secretary,
Muzrai Deptt

Rcd
19/2/06

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

returning the records.

& issue
& print
19/2/06

Dy Comrs of other Dts
Officer in charge of
Palace Estts, Mysore
Dharmadarsi, H.H. the Maharaja's
Charities, Tirupati

for info

No 35-D Endorsement to

the substance of